

REMARKS

Claims 3-5, 7, 9, 11, 13-15, and 20-33 are pending and are not being amended. No new matter has been introduced.

In the Office Action dated July 13, 2005, the Examiner rejected claims 3-5, 7, 9, 11, 13-15, and 20-33 based on obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,421,644. However, the Examiner indicated that the rejected claims would be allowable if the double patenting rejection were overcome. In response, the Applicant thanks the Examiner for so indicating and has attached hereto a Terminal Disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.321(c), and a deposit account authorization to cover the \$130.00 fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.321(c) and specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.20(d). Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully submits that claims 3-5, 7, 9, 11, 13-15, and 20-33 are in condition for allowance.

If, for any reason, the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at the Los Angeles telephone number (213) 488-7100 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance.

Date: September 8, 2005

By:



Roger R. Wise  
Registration No. 31,204  
Customer Number 27496

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
725 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5406  
Telephone: (213) 488-7100  
Facsimile: (213) 629-1033

51270-277015  
PATENT

Encl: Terminal Disclaimer under 37 C.F.R. § 1.321(c)